THIRD SECTOR GRANTS SCORING MATRIX - EVENTS AND FESTIVALS

	DRAFT SCORING MATRIX SUMMARY											
	Section	Max. Score	Weighted score for section	Overall Weighting	Final score	Outcome						
	Community Impact	10	10.00	40%	4.00							
	Sustainability	10	10.00	30%	3.00							
	Financial	10	10.00	30%	3.00							
	Total				10.00							
FINAL	COPY - DO NOT USE											
Comm	unity Impact											
Ref		Level	Score	Max Score	Awarded	Measure	Weighting	Outco				
		Weak	0			Minor economic impact						
		Average	5			One day event which will attract and]					

Ref		Level	Score	Max Score	Awarded	Measure	Weighting	Outcome
		Weak	0			Minor economic impact		
Q1	Economic Impact	Average	5	10	10	One day event which will attract and encourage tourism	23.5%	2.35
Q		Strong	10	10	10	Over one day event that will encourage local spend and attract and encourage tourism	23.3 /0	2.00
		Level	Score	Max Score	Awarded	Measure	Weighting	Outcome
	Environmental Impact	Weak	0			No environmental targets		
Q2		Average	5	10	10	Does not specifically contribute to council targets but has clear environmental aims	8.5%	0.85
		Strong	10	0		Contributes to Council or Community Plan strategic targets		
		Level	Score	Max Score	Awarded	Measure	Weighting	Outcome
		Weak	0			No cultural targets		
Q3	Cultural Impact	Average	5	10	10	Does not specifically contribute to council targets but has clear cultural aims	8.5%	0.85

		Strong	10			Contributes to Council or Community plan strategic targets		
		Level	Score	Max Score	Awarded	Measure	Weighting	Outcome
		Weak	0			No clear health and wellbeing targets		
Q4	Health and Wellbeing	Average	5	10	10	General statement provided without targets or means to assess impact	8.5%	0.85
		Strong	10			Group can evidence clear physical or mental health and wellbeing targets and how these will be measured		
		Level	Score	Max Score	Awarded	Measure	Weighting	Outcome
	Addressing Social Indusion	Weak	0			General community benefit		
Q5	Addressing Social Inclusion - targeted groups: people with disabilities, black and minority ethnic groups,	Average	5	10	10	No specific group targeted but clear benefits for one or more targeted group	8.5%	0.85
	young people, people on low incomes, elderly people, LGBT	Strong	10			One or more groups targeted		
		Level	Score	Max Score	Awarded	Measure	Weighting	Outcome
		Weak	0			Activities may result in individuals or organisations gaining more skills or confidence, but this is not an aim of the project or measured.		
Q6	Community Capacity Building	Average	5	10	10	Skills and/or structures of the group or organisation are developed to enable it to play a stronger role within its community	8.5%	0.85

		Strong	10			Community is better able to identify and help meet their needs and to participate more fully in society		
		Level	Score	Max Score	Awarded	Measure	Weighting	Outcome
		Weak	0			Activity brings people together for personal benefit		
Q7	Community Impact	Average	5	10	10	Activity brings people together from different areas for no particular developmental purpose but in a way that creates a sense of community cohesion and belonging	8.5%	0.85
		Strong	10			Activity brings people together for the purpose of improving or developing their local community (geographical or community of interest)		
		Level	Score	Max Score		Awarded	Weighting	Outcome
		Weak	0	10		Community is not disadvantaged in project area by its rural location		0.85
Q8	Alleviation of rural isolation	Average	5		10	Project increases access for rural communities or small towns to opportunities that are available in urban areas	8.5%	
		Strong	10			Project increases access for island and remote rural communities to opportunities that are available in small towns or urban areas		
		Level	Score	Max Score	Awarded	Measure	Weighting	Outcome
		Weak	0			Project does not provide or increase activities or access to community spaces		

	Enhancement of quality of life for residents and visitors	Average	5	10	10	Project provides or increases access to activities or spaces in the area on a short-term (less than a year) basis	8.5%	0.85
		Strong	10			Project provides or increases regular access to activities or spaces in the area on a long term basis		
		Level	Score	Max Score	Awarded	Measure	Weighting	Outcome
		Weak	0			Applicant evidences no partnership working or support from other organisations		
Q10	Partnership Working	Average	5	10	10	Project can demonstrate support from CPP partners or other third sector groups or organisations	8.5%	0.85
		Strong	10			Applicant has involved or worked in partnership with other organisations and has demonstrated this in the application		
Total S	cores					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	100.0%	10

SUSTA	SUSTAINABILITY											
Ref		Level	Score	Max Score	Awarded	Measure	Weighting	Outcome				
		Weak	0			No evidence provided						
Q1	Is there evidence of need for the project	Average	5	10	10	General statement provided without hard evidence eg anecdotal, or out of date research	20%	2				
		Strong	10			Need identified through consultation/research/previous event						
Ref		Level	Score	Max Score	Awarded	Measure	Weighting	Outcome				
		Weak	0	10	10	No set structure	20%	2				
Q2	Is there an appropriate management structure in place	Average	5			Chair, Secretary and Treasurer in place						
		Strong	10			Full structure and/or volunteers in place						
		Level	Score	Max Score	Awarded	Measure	Weighting	Outcome				
		Weak	0			No clear plans						

Q3	Does the organisation have the capacity to manage the event?	Average	5	10	10	Planning framework in place or evidence of previous experience (not both)	20%	2
		Strong	10			Planning framework in place and evidence of previous experience		
		Level	Score	Max Score	Awarded	Measure	Weighting	Outcome
		Weak	0			Applicant has failed to evidence awareness of any potential difficulties or challenges and therefore has no contingency planning		
Q4	What are the barriers and challenges to the project?	Average	5	10	10	Applicant has failed to evidence awareness of any potential difficulties, apart from funding, or challenges and therefore has no contingency planning	20%	2
		Strong	10			Applicant has identified potential barriers and challenges and has planned to deal with them		
		Level	Score	Max Score	Awarded	Measure	Weighting	Outcome
0.5	How will the project be	Weak	0			Applicant has no evaluation plan for project Some targets and success measures	000/	
()5	monitored and evaluated	Average	5 10	10	10	are identified but largely quantative Evaluation plan involves / gathers	20%	2
		Strong	10			feedback from service users		
Scor	res						100%	10
INAI	NCE							
Ref		Level	Score	Max Score	Awarded	Measure	Weighting	Outcome
		Weak	0			Repeat application for purpose which has received funding in the last two years		
	First time application/or organisation has not	Average	5	10	10	The organisation has not received funding for this project previously although they may have received grant	25%	2.5

		Strong	10			First time applicant or have not received funding from Argyll and Bute Council in the last 2 years		
		Level	Score	Max Score	Awarded	Measure	Weighting	Outcome
		Weak	0			Not yet applied for / no clear fundraising plans		
Q2	Match funding	Average	5	10	10	Applied/clear fundraising plans	25%	2.5
		Strong	10	10		Secured (through funding applications or fundraising)		
		Level	Score	Max Score	Awarded	Measure	Weighting	Outcome
	Reserves	Weak	0	10	10	Group has significant unrestricted reserves which could fund the activity	25%	2.5
Q3		Average	5			Group has unrestricted reserves which are ring-fenced for other purposes		
		Strong	10			Group has no unrestricted reserves		
		Level	Score	Max Score	Awarded	Measure	Weighting	Outcome
		Weak	0			No clear measurement of financial impact	25%	
()4	Measurement of financial	Average	5	10	10	The organisation has projected but no clear model in place in how to assess actual impact		2.5
	Impact	Strong	10			Clear measurable benefit to the local economy - applicant has identified how to evaluate and measure the financial impact		
Total So	cores						100%	10